MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, GMAIC

SUBJECT: Request for Evaluation of Suspected ICBM Deployment Areas

1. In the preparation of NIE 11-8-61, this office polled the USIB agencies for areas of the USSR under active consideration by US intelligence where one or more ICBM complexes may now be operational or under construction. These areas were listed in Annex C of the estimate, but we did not, in the NIE, give a detailed evaluation of the sort which GMAIC is competent to perform. In addition, footnote 6/ to the Annex contains a list of areas which the Air Force has under active consideration on the basis of evidence indicating the possibility of ICBM site construction. These areas likewise are not evaluated in detail.

2. We believe it would be desirable to have the detailed GMAIC evaluation of each of the areas mentioned in Annex C as soon as possible.
for the information of USIB member-agencies. Therefore, as the
GMAIC Deployment Working Group proceeds with its 1961 reconsideration of suspected ICBM deployment areas, we request that
the following areas be considered early in the schedule, and that
GMAIC issue its report on them as soon as possible.

3. Areas named in paragraphs 31-33 of Annex C and shown on
the map accompanying the Annex:

- Plesetsk
- Polyarnyy Ural
- Kola Peninsula
- Svobodny
- Carpathian
- Yur'ya
- Ufa
- Saratov
- Kirensk
- Kandagach
- Akmolinsk
- Dolon
- Alma Ata

4. Areas named in Air Force footnote to paragraph 32 of
Annex C:

- Kamysnin
- Ust-Ughta
- Kiev
- Taurage
- Tashkent
- Aralsk
- Yakutsk
- Chukotsk
- Chkalov
- Makat

- Nizhnaya Tura
- Vologda
- Novosibirsk
5. In your report on these areas, it would be helpful if you would include, not only the summary of evidence and record of agency voting normally included, but also the following where possible:

(a) which of the places named should be grouped together into one area, for which evidence or geography does not permit a separation?

(b) which of areas named contains more than one place which may be the location of a site-complex? (c) for which of the areas or places does the evidence indicate more than one site-complex, and if so how many? (d) for which of the areas does the evidence indicate that site-complexes are now operational? and (e) for which does it include that site-complexes are now under construction?

SHERMAN KENT
Assistant Director
National Estimates
31 August 1961

MEMORANDUM FOR: All GMAIC Members especially those whose Organizations are represented on the Deployment Working Group

1. The Deployment Working Group has re-examined all available evidence on the deployment of surface-to-surface ballistic missiles in the Soviet Union; however, it has been unable to accomplish an updating of the Deployment study on the same subject. Problems encountered have been infrequent meetings, due to pressing requirements placed on the members by their parent organization; changes in membership, and the failure of primary members to attend scheduled meetings.

2. It is believed that the completion of this task is of primary importance and warrants the full-time assignment of the Deployment Working Group Principals to this effort for a two week period beginning 8 September 1961. Meetings will be scheduled daily at the Stewart Building from 0900 to 1630 hours, Monday through Friday.

3. It is requested that you arrange for the availability of the Principal GMAIC Deployment Working Group member as outlined above.

[Signature]

Earl McFarland, Jr.
Colonel, USAF
Chairman
5 April 1961

MEMORANDUM FOR: Chairman, Critical Collection Problems Committee
Chairman, GMAIC Deployment Working Group
Chairman, GMAIC Collection Guidance Working Group

SUBJECT: Missile Deployment

REFERENCE: GMAIC Memo for USIB, Subject: Missile Deployment, dated 15 March 1961

1. At its 28 March meeting USIB noted subject memorandum report on missile deployment. The report included certain recommendations, one of which is pertinent to the activities of your Committee/Working Group and is reproduced below for your information and guidance:

"Our primary emphasis on collection and analysis in deployment during the past few years has been on the ICBM system. Although there are not yet conclusive results, we believe that this effort is generally going in the right direction and we can now afford to give greater emphasis to shorter range systems without detracting from the ICBM effort. We believe that high priority attention to missile deployment in East Germany would be especially fruitful, but we do not recommend limiting the efforts to that area."

2. If you desire additional information, guidance feel free to request it from GMAIC.

3. It is requested that GMAIC be advised of actions taken relative to this recommendation.

SIGNED

EARL McFARLAND, JR.
Colonel, USAF
Chairman
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